1 - What is your positi	ion with the	University	/?								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	onses		Means
Administrator/Director			(1)	10	18.52%						
Staff			(2)	44	81.48%						
						0	25	50	75	100	
Return Rate											
54/181 (29.83%)											

2 - Please rate the foll	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am aware of how the	University	planning	processe	s work.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	cent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	9	15.79%						
Agree			(2)	34	59.65%						
Disagree			(3)	7	12.28%						2.08
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.26%						
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.02%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	2.08	0.73									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
MUW uses a well-def	ined proces	s to estab	lish, evalu	ate, and char	nge its instit	utiona	l goals.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	11	19.3%						
Agree			(2)	32	56.14%						
Disagree			(3)	7	12.28%						2.00
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.51%						
Not Applicable			(0)	5	8.77%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	2.00	0.71									


Response Option Strongly Agree				JW's mission.	•						
Strongly Agree	1	Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means	
Silvingly Agree			(1)	14	24.56%						
Agree			(2)	33	57.89%						
Disagree			(3)	3	5.26%						1.87
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.51%						
Not Applicable			(0)	5	8.77%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

ine i resident active	y encourage	es the plar	ning pro	cess.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percer	ıt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	23	40.35%						
Agree			(2)	24	42.11%						
Disagree			(3)	3	5.26%						1.65
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	6	10.53%						
				'		0	25	50	75	100	Course

Response Option		se of fifty it	unctional unit							
response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree		(1)	14	24.56%						
Agree		(2)	24	42.11%						
Disagree		(3)	5	8.77%						1.98
Strongly Disagree		(4)	4	7.02%						
lot Applicable		(0)	10	17.54%						
					0	25	50	75	100	Course

Response Option Strongly Agree	Weight (1)	Frequency	Percent	Perc	t Dans					
	(1)	45			ent Resp	onses		Means		
A		15	26.32%							
Agree	(2)	29	50.88%							
Disagree	(3)	5	8.77%					1.96		
Strongly Disagree	(4)	4	7.02%							
Not Applicable	(0)	4	7.02%							
	•			0 25	50	75	100	Course		


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.								
The goals of my fund	e goals of my functional unit are consistent with the purpose of the unit.												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	20	35.09%								
Agree			(2)	30	52.63%								
Disagree			(3)	1	1.75%	ı					1.72		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.51%								
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.02%								
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
57/181 (31.49%)	1.72	0.69											



am currently workin	g on meetin	g one or n	nore of th	e planning go	als for my f	unctio	nal unit.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	19	33.33%						
Agree			(2)	23	40.35%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.51%						1.72
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.51%						
Not Applicable			(0)	11	19.3%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
At least once a year,	my function	al unit eva	aluates th	e progress it	is making to	ward a	achievii	ng goal	s.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Re	sponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	23	40.35%						
Agree			(2)	25	43.86%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.02%						1.68
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.02%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.68	0.70									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The results of the eva	luation of r										
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	20	35.09%						
Agree			(2)	26	45.61%						
Disagree			(3)	3	5.26%						1.79
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.26%						
Not Applicable			(0)	5	8.77%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					·				
57/181 (31.49%)	1.79	0.80									



2 - Please rate the fo	llowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.								
I am aware of how bu	m aware of how budget planning is related to short and long-term goals of my unit.												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Res	oonses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	8	14.29%								
Agree			(2)	30	53.57%								
Disagree			(3)	8	14.29%						2.12		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.36%								
Not Applicable			(0)	7	12.5%								
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·								_		
56/181 (30.94%)	2.12	0.75											

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.							
I am aware of how fin	al budget de	ecisions a	re made.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent R	espon	ises		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	5	8.77%							
Agree			(2)	27	47.37%							2.36
Disagree			(3)	13	22.81%							
Strongly Disagree			(4)	5	8.77%							
Not Applicable			(0)	7	12.28%							
						0	25	50		75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
57/181 (31.49%)	2.36	0.80										

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
Budget preparation a	nd impleme	ntation at	MUW are	preceded by	sound planr	ning.					
Response Option	· · ·			Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	4	7.14%						
Agree			(2)	33	58.93%						0.00
Disagree			(3)	5	8.93%						2.20
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	7.14%						
Not Applicable			(0)	10	17.86%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD								·	
56/181 (30.94%)	2.20	0.72									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
At least once a year,	my job perf	ormance i	s evaluat	ed by my sup	ervisor.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	33	57.89%						
Agree			(2)	20	35.09%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.75%	1					1.50
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.51%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.75%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					·				
57/181 (31.49%)	1.50	0.71									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
For supervisors only	: At least or	nce a year	, my job p	erformance i	s evaluated	by tho	se who	m I supe	ervise.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	10	25%						
Agree			(2)	5	12.5%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.33
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.55
Not Applicable			(0)	25	62.5%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
40/181 (22.1%)	1.33	0.49									

2 - Please rate the foll	owing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am provided with the	e results of	my perfor	mance ev	aluations.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	29	50.88%						
Agree			(2)	23	40.35%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.75%	ı					1.56
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.51%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.51%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.56	0.71									


2 - Please rate the fo	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I was given the oppo	rtunity to giv	e and red	eive feedl	oack on my a	nnual perfor	manc	e evalua	tion with	my sup	ervisor.	
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	28	50%						
Agree			(2)	23	41.07%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.59
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.36%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.57%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
56/181 (30.94%)	1.59	0.77			•					•	

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I routinely make char	nges in my j	ob perfori	nance bas	sed upon eval	uations.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Res	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	23	40.35%						
Agree			(2)	26	45.61%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.51%						1.68
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.51%						
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.02%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.68	0.73									

2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
Staff members are ev	aluated bas	ed upon t	he perforr	nance of duti	es.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	38.6%						
Agree			(2)	25	43.86%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.75%	ı					1.83
Strongly Disagree			(4)	6	10.53%						
Not Applicable			(0)	3	5.26%						
			1	'		0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.83	0.93									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.							
Supervisors use the	results of pe	riodic eva	luations	o improve the	e quality of	work.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Re	sponse	es		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	18	31.58%							
Agree			(2)	27	47.37%							
Disagree			(3)	4	7.02%							1.89
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	7.02%							
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.02%							
			•			0	25	50	75		100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
57/181 (31.49%)	1.89	0.85										


2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
Staff salaries are base	ed on appro	priate co	nsideratio	n of job dutie	s.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	6	10.53%						
Agree			(2)	21	36.84%			I			2.59
Disagree			(3)	16	28.07%						
Strongly Disagree			(4)	11	19.3%						
Not Applicable			(0)	3	5.26%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD						·	·	·	
57/181 (31.49%)	2.59	0.94						-	-	-	



he staff salary levels	and total c	ompensat	ion progr	am are adequ	ate to assur	e posit	tive staf	f morale	and mo	tivation.	
lesponse Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
trongly Agree			(1)	4	7.02%						
gree			(2)	17	29.82%						2.82
isagree			(3)	20	35.09%						
trongly Disagree			(4)	15	26.32%						
lot Applicable			(0)	1	1.75%	1					
				'		0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.							
The University encou	rages staff/	administr	ators to pa	articipate in n	nembership	and lea	dersh	ip activ	/ities i	n their	fields	
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Re	spon	ses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	9	15.79%		1					
Agree			(2)	30	52.63%				l			2.24
Disagree			(3)	8	14.04%							2.24
Strongly Disagree			(4)	7	12.28%							
Not Applicable			(0)	3	5.26%							
						0	25	50		75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
57/181 (31.49%)	2.24	0.89										

2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The University provid	es adequat	e technolo	ogy resou	ces.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	11	19.3%						
Agree			(2)	36	63.16%						
Disagree			(3)	7	12.28%						2.04
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.26%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·	·				·	·		
57/181 (31.49%)	2.04	0.73	•				•		-		



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The University provid	des adequat	e training	of staff to	use appropr	ate applicat	on soft	ware.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	7	12.5%						_
Agree			(2)	30	53.57%						2.34
Disagree			(3)	12	21.43%						2.04
Strongly Disagree			(4)	7	12.5%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
			ı			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
56/181 (30.94%)	2.34	0.86									

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.													
The University mainta	ins and rep	laces cur	rent softw	are and equip	oment, as ne	eded.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Re	sponse	es		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	7	12.5%									
Agree			(2)	39	69.64%									
Disagree			(3)	9	16.07%							2.07		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.79%	1								
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%									
			•			0	25	50	75	5	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD												
56/181 (30.94%)	2.07	0.60												


2 - Please rate the fol	Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.													
The University's com	munication	networks	(email, te	ephone, and	Internet) are	adequ	uate for	my need	ls.					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means			
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	24.56%									
Agree			(2)	36	63.16%									
Disagree			(3)	6	10.53%						1.89			
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı								
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1								
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·											
57/181 (31.49%)	1.89	0.65												

#### 2 - Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy. Appropriate security measures are used to monitor and protect the confidentiality and integrity of academic systems, administrative systems, and institutional **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Strongly Agree (1) 14 24.56% 40 Agree (2) 70.18% Disagree (3) 1 1.75% 1.80 1 Strongly Disagree (4) 1.75% Not Applicable (0) 1 1.75% 25 50 75 100 Course 0 Return Rate Mean STD 57/181 (31.49%) 0.55 1.80

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The University has ac	dequate libr	ary/learnii	ng resour	es.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	12	21.05%						
Agree			(2)	29	50.88%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.02%						1.96
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.26%						
Not Applicable			(0)	9	15.79%		1				
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.96	0.77									

The library's hours of	operation a	re adequ	ate.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	13	22.81%						
Agree			(2)	29	50.88%						
Disagree			(3)	5	8.77%						1.88
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	9	15.79%						
			•	'		0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fo	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The library has an ad	equate phys	sical facili	ty to hous	e the necess	ary library re	sourc	es.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	11	19.3%						
Agree			(2)	33	57.89%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.51%						1.85
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	10	17.54%						
			,			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.85	0.59									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The place where I wo	rk is comfo	rtable and	appropria	ate for the wo	rk I do.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	38.6%						
Agree			(2)	28	49.12%						
Disagree			(3)	6	10.53%						1.75
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·				<u> </u>				_
57/181 (31.49%)	1.75	0.71									

2 - Please rate the foll	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The content and design	n of MUW	publicatio	ns produc	ced and distri	buted by Ml	JW are	accura	ite and c	onsisten	t in des	cribing the University.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	20	35.09%			1			
Agree			(2)	29	50.88%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.02%						1.70
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.02%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.70	0.61									

2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I have access to a cui	rent univer	sity organ	izational	chart.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Pero	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	38.6%						
Agree			(2)	30	52.63%						
Disagree			(3)	3	5.26%						1.70
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.75%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD								·	
57/181 (31.49%)	1.70	0.66						-	•	-	

	-	olicies.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	23	40.35%						
Agree			(2)	34	59.65%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.60
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course


I am familiar with the	requiremen	ts of fede	ral law on	the privacy o	f student re	cords.					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree		·	(1)	27	47.37%						
Agree			(2)	29	50.88%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.75%	1					1.54
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.54	0.54									

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.													
I am aware of MUW's	equipment	and inven	tory proce	edures.										
Response Option	<u> </u>				Percent		Perd	ent Re	spor	nses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	17	29.82%									
Agree			(2)	34	59.65%									
Disagree			(3)	5	8.77%							1.82		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı								
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%									
						0	25	50		75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD												
57/181 (31.49%)	1.82	0.66												


2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am familiar with MU	N's policy a	nd proced	dures for I	nandling pers	ons with we	apons	on ca	mpus.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	24.56%						
Agree			(2)	33	57.89%						
Disagree			(3)	8	14.04%		l				1.93
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.75%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·	·					·		
57/181 (31.49%)	1.93	0.68	•						-		

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.							
I am familiar with MU	W's policy a	bout alco	hol and d	ugs on camp	us.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent F	Respo	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	38.6%							
Agree			(2)	32	56.14%							
Disagree			(3)	3	5.26%							1.67
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%							
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%							
						0	25	5	0	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
57/181 (31.49%)	1.67	0.58										

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I believe that the Univ	ersity conti	ributes to	the needs	of the comm	unity throug	h serv	ice or p	oublic ou	itreach.		
Response Option	Response Option				Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	19	33.33%						_
Agree			(2)	28	49.12%						
Disagree			(3)	6	10.53%						1.84
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.51%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.51%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·							<u> </u>	
57/181 (31.49%)	1.84	0.76									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
MUW conducts regul	ar practice o	drills to pr	epare for	emergency si	tuations.						
Response Option	Response Option			Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	21	36.84%			1			
Agree			(2)	28	49.12%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.02%						1.80
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.26%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.75%	ı					
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					·		·		
57/181 (31.49%)	1.80	0.80									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I feel safe and secure	on the MU\	V campus									
Response Option	<u> </u>				Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	24.56%						_
Agree			(2)	33	57.89%						
Disagree			(3)	9	15.79%						1.95
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD				<u> </u>			·		_
57/181 (31.49%)	1.95	0.69									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I am aware that the U	niversity ha	s a Behav	ioral Inter	vention Team	ı (BIT).						
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Re	sponses		Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	38.6%						
Agree			(2)	27	47.37%						
Disagree			(3)	6	10.53%						1.75
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.75%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.75%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.75	0.72									


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I believe there is dive	rsity among	my peers	s at the Ur	iversity.							
Response Option	<u> </u>				Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	25%						
Agree			(2)	32	57.14%						
Disagree			(3)	7	12.5%						1.98
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.36%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·				·		·		
56/181 (30.94%)	1.98	0.77									


I am aware that the U	Iniversity ha	e a nolicy	that proh	ihite eavual m	nisconduct						
	inversity na	s a policy	<del> </del>								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	28	50%						
Agree			(2)	28	50%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.50
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
56/181 (30.94%)	1.50	0.50									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am aware that the U	niversity ha	s a desig	nated pers	on to coordir	nate the Univ	ersity	's efforts	to elim	inate se	xual mi	sconduct on campus.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	25	43.86%						
Agree			(2)	27	47.37%						
Disagree			(3)	5	8.77%						1.65
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
			ı			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.65	0.64									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I am aware that the U	niversity's p	olicy on s	sexual mis	sconduct cov	ers sexual h	arassı	nent, se	xual as	sault, dor	nestic v	violence, dating violence, and stalking.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	26	45.61%						
Agree			(2)	31	54.39%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.54
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	]					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
57/181 (31.49%)	1.54	0.50									

2 - Please rate the fo	llowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I am aware that I am	responsible	for report	ing sexua	l misconduct	of which I h	ave be	en info	rmed or	have wi	tnessed	to the University's Title IX Coordinator.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	30	52.63%						
Agree			(2)	27	47.37%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.47
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.47
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
			'			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD		·				·		·	
57/181 (31.49%)	1.47	0.50									





Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent Resp	onses	
Educational Programs	(1)	36	66.67%				
Cultural Programs	(2)	22	40.74%				
Recreational/Fitness Programs	(3)	42	77.78%				
Food Services	(4)	21	38.89%				
Bookstore	(5)	20	37.04%				
Health Center	(6)	33	61.11%			I	
	<u>.</u>			0 25	50	75	100