


3 - Race/Ethnicity: {Select All that Apply}									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Black or African American	(1)	254	34.14%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	(2)	3	0.40%	1					
White	(3)	462	62.10%				ı		
Hispanic/Latino	(4)	22	2.96%						
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(5)	1	0.13%	1					
Asian	(6)	21	2.82%						
	•			0	25	50	75	100	
Response Rate 744/962 (77.34%)	•				•		•	•	


4 - What is your gend	er?										
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Female			(1)	641	86.62%						
Male			(2)	99	13.38%						1.13
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
740/962 (76.92%)	1.13	0.34									


5 - What is the highes	t level of ed	lucation y	our mothe	er or father co	ompleted?							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	lespon	ises		Means
Master's Degree or hig	her		(1)	95	12.67%							
Bachelor's Degree			(2)	181	24.13%							
Associate's Degree			(3)	167	22.27%							3.06
HS Diploma			(4)	240	32.00%			1				
GED			(5)	27	3.60%							
N/A			(6)	40	5.33%							
						0	25	50	)	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD										
750/962 (77.96%)	3.06	1.30										





7 - What College is yo	ur degree f	rom?									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Arts, Sciences, & Educ	ation		(1)	152	20.32%						2.39
Business & Professiona	al Studies		(2)	155	20.72%						
Nursing & Health Scien	ices		(3)	441	58.96%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD	<u> </u>					<u> </u>			
748/962 (77.75%)	2.39	0.80									


Boonanaa Ontion	Wajalat	Eroguenes	Dorecut		Doroent Be	nonece		Massa
Response Option		Frequency	Percent		Percent Res	ponses		Means
Accounting	(1)	14	1.87%					
Art Education	(2)	4	0.53%	<u> </u>				
Biology	(3)	19	2.54%	<u> </u>				
Business Admin. – BS (GB, HCM, HRM, MGT, MKT, MIS)	(4)	55	7.35%					
Business Admin. – BAS (Culinary Arts, GB, HCM, HRM, Legal Admin., MGT, MIS, MKT)	(5)	41	5.48%					
Business Admin. – Master's	(6)	6	0.80%	1				
Chemistry	(7)	2	0.27%	]				
Communication	(8)	6	0.80%	1				
Creative Writing – Master's	(9)	2	0.27%					
Culinary Arts	(10)	23	3.07%					
Culinary Science/Culinology	(11)	0	0.00%					
Education – Master's	(12)	2	0.27%					
Educational Leadership-Master's	(13)	5	0.67%	] [				
Elementary Education	(14)	11	1.47%	1				
English (Creative Writing)	(15)	12	1.60%	ı				
Family Studies	(16)	6	0.80%	] [				
Fine Arts (Studio Art, Studio Graphic)	(17)	11	1.47%					
General Studies	(18)	12	1.60%	ı				
Gifted Education - Master's	(19)	4	0.53%	1				
Health Education - Master's	(20)	5	0.67%	ı				
History (Public History)	(21)	2	0.27%	1				
Interdisciplinary Studies	(22)	2	0.27%	1				
Kinesiology (Exercise Science, Exercise Science – Pre-PT, Exercise Science – Pre- OT)	(23)	24	3.21%					22.35
Legal Studies	(24)	20	2.67%					
Mathematics	(25)	2	0.27%	1				
Music (Music Therapy, Music Education, Music Composition)	(26)	4	0.53%	ı				
Nursing – ASN	(27)	61	8.16%					
Nursing – BSN	(28)	279	37.30%					
Nursing – MSN	(29)	22	2.94%					
Nursing – DNP	(30)	3	0.40%	1				
Physical Sciences	(31)	0	0.00%	1				
Physical Theater- Master's	(32)	0	0.00%	1				
Political Science	(33)	1	0.13%	1				
Psychology	(34)	10	1.34%	ı				
Public Health Education	(35)	37	4.95%					
Public Health – Master's	(36)	8	1.07%	1				
Public Safety Administration	(37)	1	0.13%	1				
Reading/Literacy - Master's	(38)	0	0.00%	1				
Social Sciences	(39)	1	0.13%	1				
Spanish	(40)	0	0.00%	1				
Speech Language Pathology – BS	(41)	23	3.07%					
Speech Language Pathology – Master's	(42)	7	0.94%	1				
Theatre (Theatre Education)	(43)	1	0.13%	1				
Women's Leadership – Master's	(44)	0	0.00%	1				
Women's Studies	(45)	0	0.00%	1				
				0	25 50	75	100	Question
Response Rate Mean STD								













Interpersonal (social)	skills										
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent	·		(1)	370	50.34%						
Good			(2)	257	34.97%						
Fair			(3)	64	8.71%						1.75
Poor			(4)	11	1.50%	ı					1.75
N/A			(5)	33	4.49%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
735/962 (76.4%)	1.75	1.00									




13 - Rate MUW's cont	ribution to	our:									
Involvement in comm	unity servi	e									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	330	45.14%						
Good			(2)	228	31.19%						
Fair			(3)	86	11.76%						1.99
Poor			(4)	24	3.28%						
N/A			(5)	63	8.62%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD		·				·			
731/962 (75.99%)	1.99	1.22									



13 - Rate MUW's conf	ribution to	your:									
Preparation for further	er education	in some	profession	nal, scientific,	or scholarl	y field					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means		
Excellent			(1)	424	58.24%						
Good			(2)	233	32.01%						
Fair			(3)	49	6.73%						
Poor			(4)	5	0.69%	1					1.57
N/A			(5)	17	2.34%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
728/962 (75.68%)	1.57	0.84									

13 - Rate MUW's cont	ribution to y	your:										
General knowledge o	f different a	reas of st	udy									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent R	espon	ises		Means
Excellent			(1)	394	53.68%							
Good			(2)	263	35.83%							
Fair			(3)	45	6.13%							4.05
Poor			(4)	5	0.68%	ı						1.65
N/A			(5)	27	3.68%							
			•			0	25	50		75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD										
734/962 (76.3%)	1.65	0.91										


13 - Rate MUW's con	ribution to	our:									
Exposure to art, litera	ature, music	, drama, a	nd other	cultural event	s						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	324	44.20%						
Good			(2)	236	32.20%						
Fair			(3)	73	9.96%						2.05
Poor			(4)	15	2.05%	ı					
N/A			(5)	85	11.60%						
			ı			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD		·							_
733/962 (76.2%)	2.05	1.29									



Oral communication s	kille									
Response Option	ikili 5	Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Res	onses		Means
Excellent	·	 (1)	399	54.43%						
Good		(2)	261	35.61%						
air		(3)	51	6.96%						
oor		(4)	3	0.41%	1					1.61
I/A		(5)	19	2.59%						
			'		0	25	50	75	100	Question

13 - Rate MUW's cont	ribution to	our:													
Understanding of res	nderstanding of research methodologies and the scientific method														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent R	espo	nses		Means			
Excellent			(1)	372	51.03%										
Good			(2)	270	37.04%										
Fair			(3)	57	7.82%							1.68			
Poor			(4)	8	1.10%	ı						1.00			
N/A			(5)	22	3.02%										
						0	25	50	1	75	100	Question			
Response Rate	Mean	STD													
729/962 (75.78%)	1.68	0.90													


13 - Rate MUW's con	ribution to	our:		_							
Awareness of other p	hilosophies	, cultures	, and way	s of life							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	370	50.62%						
Good			(2)	273	37.35%						
Fair			(3)	57	7.80%						4.00
Poor			(4)	9	1.23%	ı					1.69
N/A			(5)	22	3.01%						
			L			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD	·								
731/962 (75.99%)	1.69	0.90									



Desire for life-long le	arning										
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	408	55.66%						
Good			(2)	253	34.52%						
Fair			(3)	49	6.68%						
Poor			(4)	9	1.23%	ı					1.59
N/A			(5)	14	1.91%	ı					
			1	'		0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD	(5)	14	1.91%	0	25	50	75	100	Questic
	0.8	82									

13 - Rate MUW's cont	ribution to	our:										
Critical thinking skills	5											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Re	spon	ses		Means
Excellent			(1)	434	59.37%							
Good			(2)	251	34.34%							
Fair			(3)	36	4.92%							
Poor			(4)	4	0.55%	1						1.49
N/A			(5)	6	0.82%	ı						
						0	25	50		75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD										
731/962 (75.99%)	1.49	0.69										


13 - Rate MUW's con	ribution to	our:									
Problem solving skill	s										
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	431	59.45%						
Good			(2)	247	34.07%						
Fair			(3)	37	5.10%						
Poor			(4)	4	0.55%	ı					1.49
N/A			(5)	6	0.83%	1					
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
725/962 (75.36%)	1.49	0.70									



Quality faculty-stude	uality faculty-student interaction														
Response Option	nt intoractio		Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means				
Excellent			(1)	467	63.89%										
Good			(2)	210	28.73%										
Fair			(3)	38	5.20%										
Poor			(4)	7	0.96%	ı					1.47				
N/A			(5)	9	1.23%	ı									
			L	'		0	25	50	75	100	Question				
Response Rate	Mean	STD													
731/962 (75.99%)	1.47	0.75													

14 - Rate MUW's effect	tiveness in	providing	j:				•				
A personalized learni	ng environr	nent									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Excellent			(1)	429	59.09%				1		
Good			(2)	241	33.20%						
Fair			(3)	33	4.55%						
Poor			(4)	11	1.52%	ı					1.53
N/A			(5)	12	1.65%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
726/962 (75.47%)	1.53	0.79									


14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:								
Quality of instruction	in online co	ourses co	mpared to	traditional cl	assroom se	tting					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	412	56.52%						
Good			(2)	229	31.41%						
Fair			(3)	52	7.13%						
Poor			(4)	15	2.06%	ı					1.63
N/A			(5)	21	2.88%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
729/962 (75.78%)	1.63	0.92									



14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:								
Service learning (app	lied class c	ontent to	identified	community p	roblems)						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	389	53.51%						
Good			(2)	243	33.43%						
Fair			(3)	42	5.78%						1.72
Poor			(4)	15	2.06%	ı					1.72
N/A			(5)	38	5.23%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD	·				·				_
727/962 (75.57%)	1.72	1.03									

14 - Rate MUW's effec	ctiveness in	providing	j:									
Opportunities for pra	ctical exper	ience (inte	ernships,	externships, ¡	practicums,	study	abroac	l, etc.	)			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent F	Respo	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	383	52.61%							
Good			(2)	208	28.57%							
Fair			(3)	44	6.04%							1.89
Poor			(4)	20	2.75%							
N/A			(5)	73	10.03%							
						0	25	5	0	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD										
728/962 (75.68%)	1.89	1.26										


14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:			·					
My present attitude to	oward my de	egree maj	or								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	485	66.71%						
Good			(2)	191	26.27%						
Fair			(3)	37	5.09%						
Poor			(4)	5	0.69%	ı					1.43
N/A			(5)	9	1.24%	ı					
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD	·				·				
727/962 (75.57%)	1.43	0.73									



14 - Rate MUW's effect	tiveness in	providing	j:								
An engaging learning	environme	nt									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Excellent			(1)	432	59.67%						
Good			(2)	234	32.32%						
Fair			(3)	34	4.70%						
Poor			(4)	8	1.10%	ı					1.54
N/A			(5)	16	2.21%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
724/962 (75.26%)	1.54	0.82									

14 - Rate MUW's effect	tiveness in	providing	j:								
Registration											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Re	sponses		Means
Excellent			(1)	434	59.86%						
Good			(2)	233	32.14%						
Fair			(3)	38	5.24%						
Poor			(4)	9	1.24%	ı					1.52
N/A			(5)	11	1.52%	1					
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
725/962 (75.36%)	1.52	0.78									


14 - Rate MUW's effect	tiveness in	providing	j:								
Academic advising											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	436	60.14%						
Good			(2)	222	30.62%						
Fair			(3)	36	4.97%						
Poor			(4)	16	2.21%	ı					1.55
N/A			(5)	15	2.07%	ı					
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD							<u> </u>		
725/962 (75.36%)	1.55	0.85							-	-	



14 - Rate MUW's effect	ctiveness in	providing	<b>j</b> :								
Proctoring Services											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	340	46.90%						
Good			(2)	198	27.31%						
Fair			(3)	42	5.79%						2.18
Poor			(4)	9	1.24%	ı					
N/A			(5)	136	18.76%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
725/962 (75.36%)	2.18	1.50									

	- Rate MUW's effectiveness in providing:													
Library services														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means			
Excellent			(1)	398	54.82%									
Good			(2)	233	32.09%									
Fair			(3)	36	4.96%						1.74			
Poor			(4)	5	0.69%	1					1.7.4			
N/A			(5)	54	7.44%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Question			
Response Rate	Mean	STD												
726/962 (75.47%)	1.74	1.11												


14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:								
Career services											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	322	44.29%						
Good			(2)	212	29.16%						
Fair			(3)	47	6.46%						2.21
Poor			(4)	13	1.79%	ı					
N/A			(5)	133	18.29%						
				'		0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
727/962 (75.57%)	2.21	1.48									



ealth services														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means			
Excellent			(1)	315	43.63%									
Good			(2)	195	27.01%									
Fair			(3)	34	4.71%						2.32			
Poor			(4)	25	3.46%									
N/A			(5)	153	21.19%									
		U		'		0	25	50	75	100	Question			

14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:									
Accessible facilities	or people w	ith disabi	lities									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Re	espons	es		Means
Excellent			(1)	302	41.71%							
Good			(2)	185	25.55%							
Fair			(3)	37	5.11%							2.46
Poor			(4)	5	0.69%	ı						
N/A			(5)	195	26.93%							
						0	25	50	7	'5	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD										
724/962 (75.26%)	2.46	1.65										


14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:								
Academic accommod	dations for p	eople wit	h disabilit	es							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	302	41.60%						
Good			(2)	182	25.07%						
Fair			(3)	35	4.82%						2.48
Poor			(4)	3	0.41%	1					
N/A			(5)	204	28.10%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD				, and the second				·	
726/962 (75.47%)	2.48	1.67									



14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:								
Services for commut	er students	(awarene	ss of camp	ous events, lo	unges, etc.)						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	304	42.05%						
Good			(2)	201	27.80%						
Fair			(3)	45	6.22%						2.31
Poor			(4)	37	5.12%						
N/A			(5)	136	18.81%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD								<u> </u>	_
723/962 (75.16%)	2.31	1.51									

14 - Rate MUW's effect	ctiveness in	providing	j:									
Food service on cam	pus											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent F	lespo	nses		Means
Excellent			(1)	262	36.14%							
Good			(2)	165	22.76%							
Fair			(3)	75	10.34%							2.60
Poor			(4)	44	6.07%							
N/A			(5)	179	24.69%							
						0	25	50	)	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD										
725/962 (75.36%)	2.60	1.60			-							


14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	):								
Campus sponsored r	ecreational	activities									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	284	39.17%						
Good			(2)	181	24.97%						
Fair			(3)	52	7.17%						2.52
Poor			(4)	12	1.66%	ı					
N/A			(5)	196	27.03%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD						<u> </u>			
725/962 (75.36%)	2.52	1.64									




14 - Rate MUW's effect	tiveness in	providing	j:								
Reservations for mee	ting spaces	;									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Resp	onses		Means
Excellent			(1)	285	39.47%						
Good			(2)	175	24.24%						
Fair			(3)	43	5.96%						2.56
Poor			(4)	14	1.94%	ı					
N/A			(5)	205	28.39%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
722/962 (75.05%)	2.56	1.67									

14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:									
Facilities maintenand	e											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	espo	nses		Means
Excellent			(1)	305	42.48%							
Good			(2)	176	24.51%							
Fair			(3)	61	8.50%							2.37
Poor			(4)	16	2.23%							
N/A			(5)	160	22.28%							
			•			0	25	50		75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD										
718/962 (74.64%)	2.37	1.57										


14 - Rate MUW's effe	ctiveness in	providing	j:								
A safe environment											
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means	
Excellent			(1)	314	44.10%						
Good			(2)	197	27.67%						
Fair			(3)	64	8.99%						2.20
Poor			(4)	18	2.53%						
N/A			(5)	119	16.71%						
				'		0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD	<u> </u>								_
712/962 (74.01%)	2.20	1.45									






Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Secured Full-Time Employment in Field of Study	(1)	182	24.86%		
Searching for Full-Time Employment in Field of Study	(2)	145	19.81%		
Currently Employed Full-Time in Field of Study	(3)	119	16.26%		
Currently Employed Full-Time	(4)	161	21.99%		
Currently Employed Part-Time	(5)	160	21.86%		
N/A	(6)	78	10.66%		

18 - Please indicate tl	ne range of	your start	ing salary								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Under \$25,000			(1)	147	20.62%						
\$25,000-\$35,000			(2)	72	10.10%						
\$35,001-\$45,000			(3)	103	14.45%						
\$45,001-\$55,000			(4)	114	15.99%						4.11
\$55,001-\$65,000			(5)	81	11.36%						
\$65,001-\$75,000			(6)	36	5.05%						
More than \$75,000			(7)	28	3.93%						
N/A			(8)	132	18.51%						
			,			0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD	•					•	•		
713/962 (74.12%)	4.11	2.46									







21 - Are you aware that MUW has a sexual misconduct policy?											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Yes			(1)	699	96.55%						
No			(2)	25	3.45%						1.03
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
724/962 (75.26%)	1.03	0.18									

22 - Are you aware of how to file a sexual misconduct report?												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means	
Yes			(1)	589	81.58%						1.18	
No			(2)	133	18.42%						1.16	
						0	25	50	75	100	Question	
Response Rate	Mean	STD										
722/962 (75.05%)	1.18	0.39										

23 - Did you receive any financial assistance while attending MUW?											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Yes			(1)	646	89.23%						
No			(2)	78	10.77%						1.11
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD									
724/962 (75.26%)	1.11	0.31									





26 - Overall, how would you rate the financial aid process (from application to receipt of funds)?											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means
Excellent			(1)	315	43.57%						
Good			(2)	247	34.16%						
Fair			(3)	84	11.62%						1.96
Poor			(4)	32	4.43%						
N/A			(5)	45	6.22%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Question
Response Rate	Mean	STD							·		
723/962 (75.16%)	1.96	1.14									

