1 - What is your positi	ion with the	Universit	ty?								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Full-time Faculty			(1)	37	86.05%						
Part-time Faculty			(2)	6	13.95%						
						0	25	50	75	100	
Return Rate											
43/206 (20.87%)											

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am aware of how the	University	planning	processe	s work.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	20	37.74%						
Agree			(2)	29	54.72%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.77%						1.69
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.89%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.89%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD							•		
53/206 (25.73%)	1.69	0.64									

2 - Please rate the following	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
MUW uses a well-defi	ned proces	s to estab	lish, evalu	uate, and chai	nge its instit	utiona	ıl goals.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Res <sub>l</sub>	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	41.51%						
Agree			(2)	27	50.94%						
Disagree			(3)	3	5.66%						1.63
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.89%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
53/206 (25.73%)	1.63	0.60									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The University planni	ng process	es are gui	ded by Ml	JW's mission	-						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	27	50.94%						
Agree			(2)	24	45.28%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.89%	ı					1.50
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.89%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					·	·			
53/206 (25.73%)	1.50	0.54	·				•	•			

	y encourage	s the plar	nning prod	cess.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Respo	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	35	66.04%						
Agree			(2)	18	33.96%						
Disagree		,	(3)	0	0%	1					4.04
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.34
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
				'		0	25	50	75	100	Course

I actively participate	in the nlann	ing proce	ss of my f	unctional unit	+						
Response Option	in the plant	ing proces	Weight		Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	28	51.85%						
Agree			(2)	18	33.33%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.41%						1.57
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	3	5.56%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.57	0.73									

	ularly lolli	ulates go	als for the	unit.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	30	55.56%						
gree			(2)	20	37.04%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.46
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
lot Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course


2 - Please rate the fol	iowing state	ements ac	coraing to	tneir accura	cy.						
The goals of my fund	tional unit a	re consis	tent with t	he purpose o	f the unit.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	34	64.15%						
Agree			(2)	18	33.96%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.89%						1.38
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						1.36
Not Applicable		(0)	0	0%							
			,			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
53/206 (25.73%)	1.38	0.53									

64.81% 31.48%		Perc	cent Resp	oonses		Means
31.48%						
1.85%	ı					1.36
0%						1.36
1.85%	ı					
'	0	25	50	75	100	Course
	0%	0% 1.85%	0% 1.85%	0% 1.85%	0% 1.85%	0% 1.85%

Response Option Strongly Agree	Maink4				nal unit.				
Strongly Agree	Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Ollongly Agree	(1)	29	53.7%						
Agree	(2)	19	35.19%						
Disagree	(3)	2	3.7%						1.51
Strongly Disagree	(4)	1	1.85%						
Not Applicable	(0)	3	5.56%						
	1			0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.							
At least once a year,	my function	al unit eva	aluates th	e progress it	is making to	ward	achievi	ng goa	ıls.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	espo	nses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	31	57.41%							
Agree			(2)	18	33.33%			1				
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%							1.43
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%							1.40
Not Applicable			(0)	3	5.56%							
						0	25	50		75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
54/206 (26.21%)	1.43	0.57										

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The results of the eva	luation of n	າy unit's g	joals are ι	sed to impro	ve the unit.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	32	59.26%						
Agree			(2)	17	31.48%						
Disagree			(3)	3	5.56%						1.44
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.444
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD							·		
54/206 (26.21%)	1.44	0.61									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am aware of how bu	dget planni	ng is relat	ed to sho	rt and long-te	rm goals of	my uni	it.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	15	28.3%						
Agree			(2)	19	35.85%			l			
Disagree			(3)	11	20.75%						2.08
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	7.55%						
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.55%						
				'		0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
53/206 (25.73%)	2.08	0.93									

2 - Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
I am aware of how fin	al budget d	ecisions a	re made.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Re	sponses		Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	10	18.87%							
Agree			(2)	14	26.42%						2.40	
Disagree			(3)	19	35.85%							
Strongly Disagree			(4)	5	9.43%							
Not Applicable			(0)	5	9.43%							
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course	
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
53/206 (25.73%)	2.40	0.94										


2 - Please rate the fol	2 - Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
Budget preparation a	nd impleme	ntation at	MUW are	preceded by	sound plani	ning.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	17	31.48%								
Agree			(2)	22	40.74%								
Disagree			(3)	7	12.96%						1.78		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1							
Not Applicable			(0)	8	14.81%								
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD		·		, and the second		·					
54/206 (26.21%)	1.78	0.70											

2 - Please rate the fol	llowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The institution has p	rocedures fo	or fair and	reasonab	le assignmen	t of faculty t	o cor	nmittees.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	17	31.48%						
Agree			(2)	31	57.41%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.79
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.7%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.79	0.70									

	ocedures to	r fair and	reasonab	le assignmen	t of faculty t	o wor	k with s	tudent or	ganizati	ons.	
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	16	29.63%						
Agree			(2)	30	55.56%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.41%						1.76
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
lot Applicable			(0)	4	7.41%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The institution has pr	ocedures fo	or fair and	reasonab	le assignmer	nt of faculty 1	o rese	arch a	nd profe	ssional a	ctivities.	
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	16	29.63%						
Agree			(2)	29	53.7%						
Disagree			(3)	5	9.26%						1.87
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.7%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.87	0.74									

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.													
The institution has pr	ocedures fo	or fair and	reasonab	le assignmen	t of faculty t	o pub	lic servi	ce.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means			
Strongly Agree			(1)	17	31.48%									
Agree			(2)	28	51.85%									
Disagree			(3)	4	7.41%						1.78			
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı								
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.41%									
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course			
Return Rate	Mean	STD					·	·						
54/206 (26.21%)	1.78	0.68												



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The institution provid	les sufficier	t funds fo	r the oper	ation of the a	cademic pro	grams					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	9	16.67%		1				
Agree			(2)	27	50%						2.22
Disagree			(3)	8	14.81%						2.22
Strongly Disagree			(4)	6	11.11%						
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.41%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	2.22	0.89		·							

The University has a	n appropriat	e number	of full-tim	e faculty to s	upport its m	ission.					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	13	24.07%						
Agree			(2)	24	44.44%						
Disagree			(3)	13	24.07%						2.15
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	7.41%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The University has a	n appropriat	e number	of part-tir	ne faculty to	support its n	nissio	n.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	oonses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	26.42%						
Agree			(2)	28	52.83%						
Disagree			(3)	7	13.21%						1.90
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.89%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	3	5.66%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·								
53/206 (25.73%)	1.90	0.71									

		,, mance is	s evaluate	ed by my sup	ervisor.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	39	72.22%						
Agree			(2)	15	27.78%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.28
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

or supervisors only	At least or	nce a year	, my job p	erformance is	s evaluated l	y tho	se whor	n I super	vise.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	9	25.71%						
Agree			(2)	3	8.57%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.46
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	2.86%						1.40
Not Applicable			(0)	22	62.86%						
				'		0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	2 - Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
My job performance i	s regularly	evaluated	by studer	ıts.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	espo	nses		Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	43	79.63%								
Agree			(2)	10	18.52%								
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1							
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1						1.19	
Not Applicable				1	1.85%	ı							
						0	25	50		75	100	Course	
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
54/206 (26.21%)	1.19	0.39											


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I am provided with the	e results of	my perfor	mance ev	aluations.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	40	74.07%						
Agree			(2)	13	24.07%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.25
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·								
54/206 (26.21%)	1.25	0.43	•						-		

	turnty to giv	e and rec	eive feedb	oack on my ai	nnual perfor	manc	e evalua	ation with	my sup	ervisor	
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	37	69.81%						
Agree			(2)	13	24.53%						
isagree			(3)	1	1.89%	ı					4.00
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.29
Not Applicable		(0)	2	3.77%							
					0	25	50	75	100	Course	

l routinely make char	iges in my j	ob perfori	nance bas	sed upon eval	uations.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	31	58.49%						
Agree			(2)	20	37.74%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.89%	ı					1.42
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.72
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.89%	ı					
			1	'		0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
The educational prog	ram and rel	ated goals	s of the Ur	niversity are o	onsistent w	ith the	missio	n of th	institut	ion.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Re	sponses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	31	58.49%								
Agree			(2)	21	39.62%								
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.40		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						1.40		
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.89%	ı							
						0	25	50	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
53/206 (25.73%)	1.40	0.50											


2 - Please rate the fo	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The criteria used in t	he evaluatio	n of facul	ty are con	sistent with t	he goals and	l mis	sion of th	e Unive	rsity.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	26	48.15%						
Agree			(2)	25	46.3%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.54
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı					
Not Applicable	<u> </u>			2	3.7%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.54	0.61									



2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
I have been involved	in the evalu	ation of e	ducationa	l goals in my	department.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	33	61.11%								
Agree			(2)	16	29.63%								
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.39		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.59		
Not Applicable	<u> </u>		(0)	3	5.56%								
	P. P					0	25	50	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
54/206 (26.21%)	1.39	0.57											

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
Supervisors use the I	results of pe	riodic eva	aluations 1	to improve the	e educationa	ıl prog	gram.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent R	espo	nses		Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	27	50%								
Agree			(2)	21	38.89%								
Disagree			(3)	4	7.41%							1.56	
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%								
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%								
						0	25	50		75	100	Course	
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
54/206 (26.21%)	1.56	0.64			-								


2 - Please rate the fol	Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.													
Adequate policies ex	ist to protec	t faculty f	rom assui	ming or being	assigned d	uties v	vhich w	ould inte	erfere wi	th the q	uality of teaching responsibilities.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means			
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	25.93%									
Agree			(2)	25	46.3%									
Disagree			(3)	9	16.67%						1.98			
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.7%									
Not Applicable	<u> </u>			4	7.41%									
	The second					0	25	50	75	100	Course			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		·			, and the second			·				
54/206 (26.21%)	1.98	0.80												



he primary responsibility for the educational programs at the University resides with the faculty.													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	20	37.04%			1					
Agree			(2)	27	50%								
Disagree			(3)	5	9.26%						1.71		
Strongly Disagree		,	(4)	0	0%								
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%								
P.F. STATE						0	25	50	75	100	Course		

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
The number of full-tir	ne faculty is	adequate	to provid	le effective te	aching.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	espon	ses		Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	11	20.37%								
Agree			(2)	25	46.3%							0.40	
Disagree			(3)	13	24.07%							2.19	
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	7.41%								
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı							
	- ' '					0	25	50		75	100	Course	
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
54/206 (26.21%)	2.19	0.86											


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The number of qualif	ied full-time	faculty is	adequate	for curriculu	m developm	ent an	d revie	w in each	major.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	13	24.07%						
Agree				24	44.44%						
Disagree			(3)	11	20.37%						2.12
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	7.41%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%						
	The second					0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	2.12	0.88									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.					
Faculty teaching load	ls are reaso	nable.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Р	ercent Re	sponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	13	24.07%			·		
Agree			(2)	29	53.7%					
Disagree			(3)	9	16.67%					1.96
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	I				
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%					
						0 25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD								
54/206 (26.21%)	1.96	0.71								

Experimentation with	methods to	improve	instructio	n is encouraç	jed.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	31	57.41%						
Agree			(2)	20	37.04%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.45
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.45
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					<u> </u>	·			
54/206 (26.21%)	1.45	0.57									


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The number of advise	ees assigne	d to facult	y is reaso	nable.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	12	22.22%						
Agree			(2)	25	46.3%						
Disagree			(3)	10	18.52%						2.00
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	6	11.11%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD		·			·			·	
54/206 (26.21%)	2.00	0.74									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
MUW provides for its	students a	learning e	environme	nt in which s	cholarly and	creativ	e achi	ievement	is enco	uraged.	
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	cent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	30	55.56%						
Agree			(2)	24	44.44%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.44
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						1.44
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.44	0.50									

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
The University provid	les adequat	e technolo	gy resou	rces.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	espo	nses		Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	11	20.37%								
Agree			(2)	33	61.11%								
Disagree			(3)	9	16.67%							2.00	
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı							
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%								
						0	25	50		75	100	Course	
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
54/206 (26.21%)	2.00	0.67											

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The University provid	les adequat	e instruct	ional mate	rials/equipme	ent.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	25.93%						
Agree			(2)	35	64.81%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.41%						1.81
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.81	0.56									



The University encou	ırages onlin	e course (	developme	ent.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	23	42.59%						
Agree			(2)	26	48.15%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.41%						1.64
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
			1	'		0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD				0	25	50	75	100	Course
54/206 (26.21%)	1.64	0.62									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.							
The University encou	rages onlin	e course o	delivery.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	espo	nses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	21	38.89%							
Agree			(2)	29	53.7%							
Disagree			(3)	3	5.56%							1.66
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı						
						0	25	50		75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
54/206 (26.21%)	1.66	0.59										

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The University suppo	rts online c	ourse del	very throu	igh faculty tra	aining and a	llocati	on of re	sources	<b>S.</b>		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	17	31.48%						
Agree			(2)	26	48.15%						
Disagree			(3)	7	12.96%						1.88
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.7%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·								
54/206 (26.21%)	1.88	0.78									

The University provi	les adequat	physical	l facilities.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	15	27.78%						
Agree			(2)	37	68.52%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.76
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
lot Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

he University provid	les adequat	e training	of faculty	to use appro	priate applic	ation	oftwar	e.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	15	27.78%						
Agree			(2)	30	55.56%						
Disagree			(3)	7	12.96%						1.93
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.7%						
lot Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
The University mainta	ins and rep	laces cur	rent softw	are and equip	oment, as ne	eded.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Re	sponses	i	Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	15	27.78%								
Agree			(2)	32	59.26%								
Disagree			(3)	6	11.11%						1.87		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı							
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%								
						0	25	50	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD											
54/206 (26.21%)	1.87	0.67		·									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The University's com	munication	networks	(email, tel	ephone, and	Internet) are	adeq	uate foi	my need	ds.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	13	24.53%						
Agree			(2)	35	66.04%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.55%						1.87
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.89%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·							·	
53/206 (25.73%)	1.87	0.62	•							-	

#### 2 - Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy. Appropriate security measures are used to monitor and protect the confidentiality and integrity of academic systems, administrative systems, and institutional **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Strongly Agree (1) 14 25.93% Agree (2) 35 64.81% Disagree (3) 3 5.56% 1.79 0 Strongly Disagree (4) 0% 2 Not Applicable (0) 3.7% 25 50 75 100 Course 0 Return Rate Mean STD 54/206 (26.21%) 1.79 0.54

The University suppo	rts researci	and prof	essional a	activities.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	16	29.63%						
Agree			(2)	33	61.11%						
Disagree			(3)	4	7.41%						1.77
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
			L			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	<u> </u>					<u> </u>			
54/206 (26.21%)	1.77	0.58									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
Overall, the physical	environmen	t of the in	stitution	contributes to	an atmosp	ere co	nduciv	e to lear	ning.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	40.74%						
Agree			(2)	27	50%						
Disagree			(3)	5	9.26%						1.69
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.69	0.64									


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
The University has a	dequate libra	ary/learni	ng resour	ces.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	17	31.48%						
Agree			(2)	31	57.41%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.83
Strongly Disagree			(4)	3	5.56%						
Not Applicable	<u> </u>			1	1.85%	ı					
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	·			
54/206 (26.21%)	1.83	0.75									

The library's hours o	operation a	re adequa	ite.								
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	26.42%						_
Agree			(2)	35	66.04%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.89%	ı					1.83
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.77%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.89%	ı					
			•	'		0	25	50	75	100	Course

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The library has an ad	equate phys	sical facili	ty to hous	e the necess	ary library re	sour	ces.				
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	cent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	17	31.48%						
Agree			(2)	31	57.41%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.79
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.7%						
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.79	0.70									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.							
The library collection	s are adequ	ate to me	et my inst	ructional nee	ds.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent R	espc	nses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	25.93%							
Agree			(2)	32	59.26%							
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%							1.92
Strongly Disagree			(4)	4	7.41%							
Not Applicable			(0)	2	3.7%							
						0	25	50		75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
54/206 (26.21%)	1.92	0.79										

2 - Please rate the following	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I have access to the e	quipment I	need to b	e an effec	tive instructo	r.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	17	31.48%						
Agree			(2)	34	62.96%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.72
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD		·					·	·	
54/206 (26.21%)	1.72	0.53			-			-	-	-	



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
MUW faculty are rewa	arded for im	proving th	neir levels	of education	and training	j.					
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	14	25.93%						
Agree			(2)	24	44.44%						
Disagree			(3)	7	12.96%						2.06
Strongly Disagree			(4)	5	9.26%						
Not Applicable			(0)	4	7.41%						
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	2.06	0.91	•	·				•			

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The place where I wo	rk is comfo	table and	appropria	ite for the wo	rk l do.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	24	44.44%						
Agree			(2)	25	46.3%						
Disagree			(3)	3	5.56%						1.64
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.64	0.68									


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
The content and desi	gn of MUW	publicatio	ns produc	ed and distri	buted by ML	JW ar	e accura	ate and c	onsisten	t in des	cribing the University.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	cent Res	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	18	33.96%			1			
Agree			(2)	32	60.38%				ı		
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						1.64
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
Not Applicable			(0)	3	5.66%						
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·	·							
53/206 (25.73%)	1.64	0.48	•					-			

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I have access to a cu	rrent univer	sity orgar	izational	chart.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	24	44.44%						
Agree			(2)	27	50%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.58
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD							<u> </u>		
54/206 (26.21%)	1.58	0.57		•	•		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I have access to MUV	/'s current p	oolicies.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	cent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	28	51.85%						
Agree			(2)	26	48.15%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.48
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.40
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD							•		
54/206 (26.21%)	1.48	0.50									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.							
I am familiar with the	requiremen	ts of fede	ral law on	the privacy o	f student re	cords						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent R	lespo	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	31	57.41%							
Agree			(2)	22	40.74%							
Disagree			(3)	1	1.85%	ı						1.44
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%							1.44
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%							
						0	25	50	)	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD										
54/206 (26.21%)	1.44	0.54										


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.									
Faculty are adequate	aculty are adequately informed regarding academic freedom policies.													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	oonses		Means			
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	41.51%									
Agree			(2)	23	43.4%									
Disagree			(3)	8	15.09%						1.74			
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1								
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1								
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·	·		·								
53/206 (25.73%)	1.74	0.71												




2 - Please rate the fo	llowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I am familiar with MU	W's policy a	nd proce	dures for I	nandling pers	ons with we	apons	on can	npus.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	22	40.74%						
Agree			(2)	26	48.15%						
Disagree			(3)	5	9.26%						1.72
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·					·	·		_
54/206 (26.21%)	1.72	0.71									

2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	су.						
I am familiar with MU	N's policy a	bout alco	hol and d	rugs on camp	us.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	25	46.3%						
Agree			(2)	27	50%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.85%	ı					1.59
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.59	0.63									

2 - Please rate the following	owing state	ments ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
I believe that the Univ	ersity conti	ibutes to	the needs	of the comm	unity throug	jh ser	vice or p	ublic ou	treach.		
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	ent Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	25	47.17%						
Agree			(2)	25	47.17%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.77%						1.56
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.89%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					·			·	
53/206 (25.73%)	1.56	0.57					-	•	-	-	



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.						
MUW conducts regul	ar practice	drills to pr	epare for	emergency si	tuations.						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	ponses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	28	51.85%						
Agree			(2)	24	44.44%						
Disagree			(3)	1	1.85%						1.49
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
54/206 (26.21%)	1.49	0.54									



2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.										
I feel safe and secure	eel safe and secure on the MUW campus.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	onses		Means				
Strongly Agree			(1)	20	37.04%										
Agree			(2)	30	55.56%										
Disagree			(3)	3	5.56%						1.72				
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı									
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1									
						0	25	50	75	100	Course				
Return Rate	Mean	STD	·				·			·					
54/206 (26.21%)	1.72	0.66													

	niversity has	s a Behav	ioral Inter	vention Team	(BIT).						
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perce	nt Resp	onses		Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	26	48.15%						
Agree			(2)	24	44.44%						
Disagree			(3)	2	3.7%						1.58
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%	ı					
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı					
						0	25	50	75	100	Course


2 - Please rate the fol	lowing state	ements ac	cording to	their accura	cy.									
I believe there is dive	believe there is diversity among my peers at the University.													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Resp	oonses		Means			
Strongly Agree			(1)	21	38.89%									
Agree			(2)	22	40.74%									
Disagree			(3)	9	16.67%		1				1.81			
Strongly Disagree			(4)	1	1.85%									
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.85%	ı								
						0	25	50	75	100	Course			
Return Rate	Mean	STD					<u> </u>	·	·					
54/206 (26.21%)	1.81	0.79												

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.													
I am aware that the U	niversity ha	s a policy	that proh	ibits sexual n	nisconduct.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Per	ent F	Respo	nses		Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	37	68.52%									
Agree			(2)	17	31.48%									
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1						4.04		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	]						1.31		
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1								
						0	25	50	)	75	100	Course		
Return Rate	Mean	STD												
54/206 (26.21%)	1.31	0.47												

2 - Please rate the fol	- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.													
I am aware that the U	niversity ha	s a desig	nated pers	son to coordii	nate the Uni	versit	y's effort	ts to eli	minate se	exual mi	sconduct on campus.			
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Perc	ent Res	sponses		Means			
Strongly Agree			(1)	33	62.26%									
Agree			(2)	19	35.85%									
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.37			
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.37			
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.89%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Course			
Return Rate	Mean	STD												
53/206 (25.73%)	1.37	0.49												

2 - Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.											
I am aware that the U	niversity's p	oolicy on	sexual mis	sconduct cov	ers sexual h	arassr	nent, se	xual ass	ault, do	mestic	violence, dating violence, and stalking.
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means		
Strongly Agree			(1)	34	64.15%						
Agree			(2)	19	35.85%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%	1					1.36
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%	1					1.30
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%	1					
			•			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD									
53/206 (25.73%)	1.36	0.48									

- Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.											
I am aware that I am	responsible	for report	ing sexua	l misconduct	of which I h	ave be	en info	rmed or	have wit	nessed	to the University's Title IX Coordinator.
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means
Strongly Agree			(1)	35	66.04%						
Agree			(2)	18	33.96%						
Disagree			(3)	0	0%						104
Strongly Disagree			(4)	0	0%						1.34
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%						
			1			0	25	50	75	100	Course
Return Rate	Mean	STD					<u> </u>	·	·	<u> </u>	
53/206 (25.73%)	1.34	0.48									



2 - Please rate the following statements according to their accuracy.												
Using the University web search function, I can easily find the information I need?												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means	
Strongly Agree			(1)	12	23.08%							
Agree			(2)	23	44.23%						2.12	
Disagree		(3)	14	26.92%						2.12		
Strongly Disagree			(4)	2	3.85%							
Not Applicable			(0)	1	1.92%	ı						
				0	25	50	75	100	Course			
Return Rate	Mean	STD		·			·	·	·			
52/206 (25.24%)	2.12	0.82										

